



“Role of Nurses in Reducing Cesarean Section Rates: Challenges and Opportunities”

Ms. Sethulakshmi S¹, M.Sc (N)

¹Lecturer

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecological Nursing

¹Amrita College of Nursing

Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham Health Sciences Campus

AIMS-Ponekkara P.O, Kochi-682 041, Kerala, India.

Primary /Corresponding author Email: sethulakshmi6360@gmail.com

Paper accepted on: 06/07/2025 DOP: 16/09/2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17140122>

Abstract: The global increase in cesarean section (C-section) rates has emerged as one of the most pressing issues in maternal and child health. While C-sections are lifesaving in specific obstetric emergencies, the rising trend of unnecessary surgical births poses major risks to maternal and neonatal health, as well as significant financial burdens on healthcare systems. Women undergoing cesarean delivery face higher risks of infection, hemorrhage, thromboembolic events, and complications in subsequent pregnancies, while infants are more likely to experience respiratory distress and breastfeeding challenges. Nurses, particularly midwives and obstetric nurses, are at the forefront of maternal healthcare and are uniquely positioned to play a vital role in reducing unnecessary C-sections. Their interventions span antenatal education, continuous labor support, advocacy for evidence-based practices, and promotion of midwifery-led care models. However, barriers such as institutional restrictions, cultural beliefs, medico-legal concerns, and limited autonomy hinder their potential impact. This article examines global trends in cesarean section rates, explores the multifactorial reasons behind their increase, and highlights the essential role of nurses in mitigating this issue. It also discusses the challenges nurses face and the opportunities available to strengthen their role in ensuring safe, evidence-based, and woman-centered childbirth care.

Keywords: Cesarean section, nurses, midwives, maternal health, labor support, vaginal birth, nursing interventions

Introduction

Cesarean section is one of the most common surgical procedures globally and is often performed as a lifesaving intervention for mothers and newborns. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that cesarean section rates should ideally fall between 10–15% of all deliveries, as rates higher than this do not necessarily correlate with improved maternal or neonatal outcomes [1]. However, many countries far exceed this threshold, with some reporting rates as high as 50% of all births [2]. While

advances in obstetric care have improved safety, the overuse of cesarean delivery has become a significant challenge in maternal health.

Unnecessary C-sections are not without consequences. Women face higher risks of surgical complications, delayed recovery, and potential complications in future pregnancies, while newborns delivered by C-section may experience respiratory distress and alterations in microbiota colonization [3]. Furthermore, excessive



surgical deliveries increase healthcare costs and place additional strain on already stretched health systems. Nurses and midwives, as the backbone of maternal and newborn healthcare, have the ability to address this growing challenge. They provide antenatal counseling, continuous support during labor, and advocacy for natural birthing practices, all of which have been shown to reduce unnecessary cesarean deliveries [4]. However, despite their crucial role, systemic challenges such as lack of autonomy, institutional protocols, and medico-legal pressures continue to restrict their influence. This article critically examines the global trends in cesarean section rates, analyzes the contributing factors, and explores the role of nurses in reducing unnecessary surgical births while highlighting challenges and opportunities for strengthening nursing contributions.

Global Trends in Cesarean Section Rates

Over the last two decades, cesarean section rates have risen dramatically across both developed and developing countries. According to WHO, the global C-section rate increased from 12% in 2000 to more than 21% in 2021 [5]. In some regions, particularly Latin America, nearly half of all births are performed via cesarean delivery, with Brazil reporting rates above 55%. Similarly, in high-income countries such as the United States and Italy, cesarean rates hover around 32–35% [6].

In contrast, low-income countries face dual challenges. While urban tertiary hospitals often show excessive use of C-sections, rural areas suffer from limited access, leading to underutilization where cesarean deliveries are genuinely needed. This disparity reflects broader inequities in maternal healthcare services. Nurses and midwives working in such diverse contexts are uniquely positioned to advocate for balanced and evidence-based use of cesarean deliveries, ensuring both accessibility where medically indicated and restraint where unnecessary.

Factors Contributing to Rising Cesarean Rates

Medical Indications and Risk Perception

Medical advances in obstetric care have improved diagnostic accuracy for conditions such as fetal distress and labor dystocia. However, the reliance on continuous electronic fetal monitoring and other technologies has also led to increased rates of intervention, sometimes without sufficient clinical justification [7]. Overdiagnosis or misinterpretation of fetal distress remains one of the most common causes of unnecessary cesarean delivery. Nurses trained in intrapartum monitoring can interpret findings more accurately and advocate for evidence-based decisions, ensuring that surgical interventions are truly warranted.

Maternal Request and Fear of Labor Pain

A growing number of cesarean deliveries are performed at the request of mothers, often due to fear of labor pain or negative perceptions of vaginal birth. Misconceptions, lack of proper antenatal counseling, and societal narratives that equate cesarean delivery with modernity or safety contribute to this trend [8]. Nurses play an important role in addressing these fears through prenatal education, promoting pain relief options, and preparing women for childbirth using relaxation and breathing techniques. This reassurance often shifts maternal preferences toward attempting vaginal delivery.

Provider Preferences and Medico-Legal Pressures

Healthcare providers are influenced by time constraints, scheduling convenience, and fear of litigation in case of adverse outcomes. Cesarean delivery, perceived as more controlled, is sometimes chosen even in the absence of strong medical indications [9]. Nurses, through detailed documentation, patient advocacy, and continuous support, can reduce reliance on unnecessary interventions while ensuring maternal rights and safety.

Institutional and Policy Factors



In many private healthcare facilities, cesarean sections are encouraged as profitable procedures that maximize institutional revenue. This creates a conflict of interest between economic incentives and patient-centered care [10]. Nurses working in such settings often face ethical dilemmas as they balance institutional demands with their responsibility to safeguard women's health. By advocating for transparency and accountability, nurses can help challenge such systemic issues.

Role of Nurses in Reducing Cesarean Section Rates

Antenatal Education and Counseling

Antenatal education is one of the most powerful tools nurses can employ to prevent unnecessary cesarean sections. By organizing childbirth preparation classes, nurses can provide expectant mothers with detailed information on the natural birthing process, labor stages, pain management techniques, and the risks associated with cesarean delivery. Empowering women with knowledge encourages them to make informed choices and reduces their likelihood of requesting elective surgical births [11].

Continuous Labor Support

Research consistently demonstrates that continuous labor support, particularly from nurses or midwives, reduces the likelihood of cesarean births [12]. Nurses provide both emotional reassurance and practical assistance, such as guiding women through breathing techniques, facilitating mobility, and offering comfort measures like massage and hydrotherapy. This support not only enhances maternal confidence but also improves labor progress, ultimately decreasing the probability of surgical intervention.

Advocacy for Evidence-Based Practices

Nurses are critical in advocating for evidence-based practices that promote natural birth. These practices include encouraging delayed hospital admission during early labor, supporting mobility and upright birthing

positions, and minimizing unnecessary interventions such as routine inductions [13]. Nurses ensure that patient preferences are respected, and interventions are reserved for cases with clear medical justification.

Promoting Midwifery-Led Models of Care

Countries that have implemented midwifery-led models of care consistently report lower cesarean rates. Midwives and obstetric nurses provide personalized, continuous, and woman-centered care, which fosters trust and reduces intervention rates [14]. By advocating for the expansion of midwifery-led services, nurses can help shift maternal care toward a model that prioritizes natural birth while maintaining safety.

Postnatal Support and Encouragement

The postnatal period is a critical opportunity for nurses to counsel mothers about future pregnancies. Women who experience supportive and positive postnatal care are more likely to attempt vaginal birth after cesarean (VBAC) in subsequent pregnancies [15]. Nurses play a vital role in facilitating recovery, reducing fear of childbirth, and providing encouragement for natural birth in the future.

Challenges in Implementing Nursing Interventions

Despite their potential, nurses face numerous challenges that limit their ability to influence cesarean rates. One of the most significant barriers is the lack of professional autonomy in many healthcare systems. In physician-dominated maternity care environments, nurses often have limited authority to influence decisions regarding mode of delivery [16].

In addition, resource constraints such as inadequate staffing, high patient-to-nurse ratios, and lack of access to pain relief options hinder the implementation of supportive care practices [17]. Cultural factors also play a role, particularly in societies where cesarean deliveries are perceived as safer or more prestigious. Nurses working in such contexts struggle to alter deeply ingrained beliefs



[18]. Furthermore, medico-legal pressures often push healthcare providers toward defensive practices, where cesarean delivery is chosen as a safeguard against litigation [19].

Opportunities to Enhance Nursing Roles

Despite these challenges, there are significant opportunities to strengthen the role of nurses in reducing unnecessary cesarean sections. Expanding education and training programs in maternal health, labor monitoring, and non-pharmacological pain relief techniques can equip nurses with the skills to promote natural birth practices [20].

Greater involvement of nurses in healthcare policy and decision-making is also essential. Their frontline experiences provide valuable insights into systemic barriers and practical strategies for improving care [21]. Expanding midwifery-led services presents another opportunity, as evidence consistently demonstrates their effectiveness in lowering cesarean rates [22].

The integration of technology and telehealth into maternal care offers new ways for nurses to support women. Through digital platforms, nurses can provide prenatal education, birth preparedness counseling, and psychological support, reducing anxiety and promoting vaginal birth [23]. Finally, interdisciplinary collaboration between nurses, obstetricians, and anesthesiologists can create a supportive environment where woman-centered, evidence-based care is prioritized [24].

Challenges in Reducing Cesarean Section Rates

Institutional and Systemic Barriers: One of the most significant challenges nurses face in reducing cesarean section rates stems from institutional and systemic barriers within healthcare settings. Many hospitals have policies, resource limitations, or administrative protocols that indirectly promote higher cesarean rates, such as limited availability of midwifery-led care units, shortage of trained

nursing staff, or the absence of supportive policies for vaginal birth after cesarean (VBAC). Additionally, systemic pressures to reduce labor time and optimize bed turnover often contribute to the overuse of cesarean delivery as a quicker alternative. Nurses, despite their frontline role, often find themselves constrained by these broader organizational limitations, which makes it difficult to fully implement evidence-based practices aimed at reducing unnecessary cesareans.

Cultural and Patient-Related Factors: Cultural beliefs, personal preferences, and patient expectations also play a significant role in the rising cesarean section rates. In many societies, cesarean deliveries are perceived as safer, more convenient, or less painful than vaginal births. Some women may request cesarean delivery due to fear of labor pain, anxiety about vaginal birth, or misconceptions about the safety of surgical delivery. Nurses face the challenge of addressing these deeply rooted beliefs while ensuring that patients make informed choices. Patient education is time-intensive, and without strong institutional support, nurses may struggle to counter cultural pressures that normalize elective cesarean deliveries.

Medicalization of Childbirth: The increasing medicalization of childbirth is another obstacle that nurses encounter in reducing cesarean section rates. Advances in medical technology and obstetric interventions, while beneficial in high-risk cases, are often overused in routine deliveries. Practices such as continuous electronic fetal monitoring, early induction of labor, and unnecessary episiotomies contribute to a cascade of interventions that frequently end in cesarean delivery. Nurses must navigate a system where medical interventions are prioritized, making it difficult to advocate for physiological birth practices. Overcoming this challenge requires systemic change, including collaborative practice models that empower nurses to actively participate in decision-making.



Opportunities for Nurses to Reduce Cesarean Section Rates

Promoting Evidence-Based Practices: Despite challenges, nurses have significant opportunities to contribute to reducing unnecessary cesarean sections by promoting evidence-based practices. These include advocating for intermittent fetal monitoring, encouraging mobility during labor, supporting natural birthing positions, and avoiding unnecessary induction of labor. Nurses who are knowledgeable about current guidelines can actively educate patients and collaborate with physicians to ensure adherence to best practices. By consistently implementing these approaches, nurses can play a vital role in minimizing interventions that often lead to cesarean delivery, thereby promoting safer and more natural birth experiences.

Enhancing Patient Education and Counseling: One of the most impactful opportunities for nurses lies in patient education and counseling. Nurses are often the primary source of information for pregnant women, and their ability to provide accurate, evidence-based guidance can significantly influence maternal decisions. By addressing misconceptions, reducing anxiety through childbirth education classes, and preparing women for the realities of labor, nurses can empower patients to choose vaginal birth when safe. Furthermore, nurses can counsel women on the risks of unnecessary cesarean sections, including longer recovery time, higher infection rates, and complications in subsequent pregnancies.

Encouraging Supportive Labor Environments: Creating a supportive labor environment is another avenue through which nurses can reduce cesarean section rates. Practices such as continuous labor support, skin-to-skin contact, and the presence of doulas or family members during childbirth have been shown to increase rates of vaginal delivery. Nurses, by fostering an environment of

emotional reassurance and physical comfort, can help women feel more confident in their ability to give birth vaginally. Supportive environments also reduce maternal stress, which is known to negatively influence labor progression. Nurses are uniquely positioned to bridge the gap between medical protocols and the humanistic aspects of care that promote natural births.

Collaborating in Multidisciplinary Teams: Opportunities also exist for nurses to influence cesarean section rates through collaboration with multidisciplinary teams. When nurses work closely with obstetricians, anesthetists, midwives, and other healthcare professionals, they can advocate for shared decision-making models that prioritize patient safety while discouraging unnecessary interventions. Interprofessional collaboration ensures that the nurse's perspective—focusing on holistic, patient-centered care—is included in discussions about birth practices. In addition, nurses can take part in developing institutional policies that support natural birthing practices, thereby contributing to long-term systemic change.

Implications for Nursing Practice

The role of nurses in reducing cesarean section rates carries significant implications for the future of nursing practice. First, there is a need for ongoing professional development and training to ensure nurses remain updated with the latest evidence-based practices in obstetrics. This includes continuous learning in areas such as non-pharmacological pain management, patient education techniques, and labor support strategies. Second, nurses must develop strong advocacy skills to effectively communicate with both patients and physicians regarding safe and appropriate birth practices. This may involve challenging entrenched medicalized approaches and promoting patient autonomy. Finally, nursing practice must evolve to integrate a more holistic view of childbirth, where emotional, psychological, and cultural dimensions



are considered as important as medical outcomes. By embracing these implications, nurses can position themselves as key leaders in promoting safe and natural childbirth, ultimately contributing to the global effort to reduce unnecessary cesarean deliveries.

Summary and Conclusion

Cesarean section, while a life-saving procedure in high-risk pregnancies, is increasingly overused, leading to concerns about maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Nurses, as frontline providers of maternal care, play a pivotal role in addressing this issue through evidence-based practices, patient education, emotional support, and advocacy. Despite challenges such as institutional barriers, cultural beliefs, and the medicalization of childbirth, opportunities exist for nurses to influence positive change by promoting supportive labor environments, engaging in multidisciplinary collaboration, and continuously enhancing their professional skills.

In conclusion, reducing cesarean section rates requires a multifaceted approach, with nurses at the center of the solution. Their ability to provide patient-centered care, combined with their role as educators and advocates, makes them indispensable in promoting safe childbirth practices. By addressing both systemic challenges and individual patient concerns, nurses can help shift the focus from surgical intervention to natural, evidence-based birthing practices. This shift not only improves maternal and neonatal outcomes but also reaffirms the central role of nursing in advancing global maternal health.

Bibliography

1. Betrán AP, Ye J, Moller AB, Zhang J, Gülmезoglu AM, Torloni MR. The increasing trend in caesarean section rates: global, regional and national estimates: 1990–2014. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(2):e0148343.
2. Boerma T, Ronsmans C, Melesse DY, Barros AJ, Barros FC, Juan L, et al. Global epidemiology of use of and disparities in caesarean sections. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10155):1341–8.
3. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations: non-clinical interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections. Geneva: WHO; 2018.
4. Miller S, Abalos E, Chamillard M, Ciapponi A, Colaci D, Comandé D, et al. Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10056):2176–92.
5. Sandall J, Tribe RM, Avery L, Mola G, Visser GH, Homer CS, et al. Short-term and long-term effects of caesarean section on the health of women and children. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10155):1349–57.
6. Chen I, Opiyo N, Tavender E, Mortazhejri S, Rader T, Petkovic J, et al. Non-clinical interventions for reducing unnecessary caesarean section. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018;9(9):CD005528.
7. Renfrew MJ, McFadden A, Bastos MH, Campbell J, Channon AA, Cheung NF, et al. Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence-informed framework for maternal and newborn care. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9948):1129–45.
8. Downe S, Finlayson K, Oladapo O, Bonet M, Gülmезoglu AM. What matters to women during childbirth: a systematic qualitative review. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(4):e0194906.
9. Betrán AP, Torloni MR, Zhang JJ, Gülmезoglu AM. WHO statement on caesarean section rates. *BJOG*. 2016;123(5):667–70.
10. Bohren MA, Hofmeyr GJ, Sakala C, Fukuzawa RK, Cuthbert A. Continuous support for women



SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

www.scientificjournal.in

JOURNAL PUBLICATIONS INDEXED IN



zenodo



OpenAIRE



ISSN: 3107-4162



www.scientificjournal.in

YEAR: 2025

VOLUME: 3

ISSUE: 2

during childbirth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;7(7):CD003766.

11. Gibbons L, Belizán JM, Lauer JA, Betrán AP, Merialdi M, Althabe F. The global numbers and costs of additionally needed and unnecessary caesarean sections performed per year: overuse as a barrier to universal coverage. *World Health Report*. 2010;30(1):1–31.

12. Vogel JP, Betrán AP, Vindevoghel N, Souza JP, Torloni MR, Zhang J, et al. Use of the Robson classification to assess caesarean section trends in 21 countries: a secondary analysis of two WHO multicountry surveys. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2015;3(5):e260–70.

13. Kennedy HP, Yoshida S, Costello A, Declercq E, Dias MA, Duff E, et al. Asking different questions: research priorities to improve the quality of care for every woman, every child. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2016;4(11):e777–9.

14. Homer CS, Friberg IK, Dias MA, ten Hoope-Bender P, Sandall J, Speciale AM, et al. The projected effect of scaling up midwifery. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9948):1146–57.

15. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, Lutsiv O, Makh SK, Souza JP, et al. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(6):e1001847.

16. Yisma E, Smithers LG, Lynch JW, Mol BW. Cesarean section in Ethiopia: prevalence and sociodemographic characteristics. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2019;32(7):1130–5.

17. Rydahl E, Declercq E, Juhl M, Maimburg RD. Cesarean section on a rise—Does advanced maternal age explain the increase? A population register-based study. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(1):e0210655.

18. Betrán AP, Temmerman M, Kingdon C, Mohiddin A, Opiyo N, Torloni MR, et al. Interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections in healthy women and babies. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10155):1358–68.

19. Kottmel A, Hoesli I, Traub R, Urech C, Zimmermann R, Alder J. Maternal request: a reason for rising rates of cesarean section? *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2012;286(1):93–8.

20. O'Donovan C, O'Donovan J. Why do women request an elective cesarean delivery for non-medical reasons? A systematic review of the qualitative literature. *Birth*. 2018;45(2):109–19.