"OSCE Vs DOP in Psychiatric Settings for Nurses: A Comparative Review"

Mr. Santhosh Kumar. J[1],
[1]M.Sc (N), [Ph.D], MiCBT Therapist (Australia)
[1]Associate Professor
Department of Mental Health (Psychiatric) Nursing
Amrita College of Nursing
Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham Health Sciences Campus
AIMS-Ponekkara P.O, Kochi-682 041, Kerala, India.

Abstract

Background: In psychiatric nursing education, the assessment of clinical competence remains a crucial element for ensuring safe and effective patient care. Traditional written examinations often fail to capture the multidimensional aspects of psychiatric nursing practice, which includes communication, empathy, clinical reasoning, and therapeutic interventions. Two widely used performance-based assessments—the **Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE)** and the **Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOP)**—offer structured means of evaluating clinical skills. However, their relative effectiveness and suitability in psychiatric settings remain a matter of discussion.

Aim: This article provides a comprehensive comparative review of OSCE and DOP in psychiatric nursing education, highlighting their strengths, limitations, and implications for clinical practice.

Methods: A narrative review approach was adopted, synthesizing evidence from psychiatric nursing, medical education, and competency-based assessment literature.

Findings: OSCEs are highly standardized, versatile, and allow assessment across diverse psychiatric scenarios, but they can be resource-intensive and artificial. In contrast, DOP offers authentic, workplace-based evaluation of real-life encounters but may lack consistency and standardization. Both methods demonstrate unique strengths and potential synergies when integrated.

Conclusion: OSCE and DOP are complementary, not competing, assessment strategies. In psychiatric nursing, where interpersonal, communication, and situational adaptability are as critical as technical competence, a blended model combining OSCE and DOP may provide the most robust framework for competency assessment.

Introduction

Nursing in psychiatric settings demands a unique set of competencies beyond clinical knowledge and technical skills. Unlike general medical or surgical nursing, psychiatric nursing emphasizes therapeutic communication, patient engagement, crisis intervention, empathy, and the ability to navigate complex interpersonal dynamics. Assessing such competencies poses a significant challenge for educators.

Traditionally, nursing education relied heavily on written examinations and theoretical assessments. However, these approaches often fail to capture the real-world application of knowledge and the interpersonal skills required in psychiatric care. The increasing adoption of competency-based education models has led to the

implementation of performance-based assessments, most notably the Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) and Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOP).

The OSCE, developed in the 1970s by Harden and colleagues, is a station-based assessment method in which students rotate through standardized clinical scenarios, each designed to test specific competencies. On the other hand, DOP, rooted in workplace-based assessment models, involves real-time evaluation of students' performance during actual clinical interactions.

While both methods are established in medical and nursing education, their specific role, effectiveness, and adaptability in psychiatric nursing education require exploration. This article critically examines OSCE and DOP in psychiatric settings, comparing their benefits, limitations, and implications for enhancing the quality of nursing education and practice.

1. The Importance of Clinical Competence Assessment in Psychiatric Nursing

Psychiatric nursing is distinct because it requires both technical and humanistic skills. A psychiatric nurse must:

- Recognize psychiatric symptoms accurately.
- Demonstrate therapeutic communication.
- Maintain professional boundaries.
- Deliver evidence-based interventions.
- Ensure safety during crises such as aggression, suicidal ideation, or self-harm.

Assessing these skills is essential for ensuring patient safety, professional accountability, and the preparation of nurses for independent practice. Unlike procedural disciplines, psychiatric nursing relies heavily on soft skills—such as empathy, listening, and rapport building—that are not easily captured by traditional written exams. Therefore, performance-based assessment tools like OSCE and DOP provide more reliable methods of evaluating competencies.

2. Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) in Psychiatric Nursing

2.1 Definition and Structure

The OSCE is a structured assessment tool where students rotate across a series of stations, each presenting a standardized task or scenario. In psychiatric nursing, OSCE stations may include:

- Conducting a mental status examination (MSE).
- Managing a patient with acute anxiety or panic.
- Handling a suicidal patient.
- Educating a family about medication adherence.
- Role-playing therapeutic communication with a psychotic patient.

Each station is observed by examiners who score performance using structured checklists or rating scales.

2.2 Advantages of OSCE in Psychiatric Settings

- **Standardization:** Ensures all students are evaluated on identical scenarios, reducing bias.
- **Wide Skill Coverage:** Allows assessment of diverse psychiatric nursing skills, from communication to crisis management.
- **Objective Evaluation:** Structured checklists enhance transparency and fairness.
- **Feedback Opportunity:** Students receive detailed, structured feedback, enhancing learning.
- **Safe Simulation:** Provides opportunities to practice managing high-risk situations (e.g., aggression, suicide) without endangering real patients.

2.3 Limitations of OSCE in Psychiatry

- **Artificiality:** Simulated patients may not fully replicate the unpredictability of real psychiatric encounters.
- **Resource Intensive:** Requires trained standardized patients, examiners, and logistical setup.
- **Performance Anxiety:** The staged nature of OSCEs may heighten stress, affecting authenticity.

- **Limited Longitudinal View:** Captures performance in isolated scenarios, not overall competence.

3. Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOP) in Psychiatric Nursing

3.1 Definition and Structure

DOP involves **direct observation of students in real clinical settings** while they perform specific skills or engage in patient interactions. The observer evaluates performance using structured rating forms, providing immediate feedback. In psychiatric nursing, DOP may include:

- Conducting intake interviews.
- Managing aggressive behavior on the ward.
- Delivering psychoeducation to families.
- Administering psychiatric medications safely.
- Facilitating group therapy sessions.

3.2 Advantages of DOP in Psychiatric Settings

- **Authenticity:** Evaluates nurses in real clinical environments with actual patients.
- **Contextual Learning:** Reflects the complexity and unpredictability of psychiatric practice.
- **Immediate Feedback:** Observers provide constructive feedback in real time.
- **Integration with Practice:** Reinforces learning by linking assessment with day-to-day clinical work.
- **Professional Development:** Encourages reflective practice and continuous improvement.

3.3 Limitations of DOP in Psychiatry

- **Variability:** Different observers and patients may influence outcomes, reducing reliability.
- **Time Constraints:** Busy clinical settings may limit opportunities for observation.
- **Potential Bias:** Subjectivity of evaluators may affect scoring.
- **Anxiety in Real Settings:** Students may feel judged during real patient care, affecting performance.

4. Comparative Analysis: OSCE vs. DOP in Psychiatric Nursing

Criteria	OSCE	DOP
Standardization	High (structured stations and checklists)	Low (depends on clinical situation and observer)
Authenticity	Moderate (simulated patients)	High (real patients, real settings)
Resource Requirement	High (simulation labs, standardized patients, trained examiners)	Moderate (requires trained observers, less infrastructure)
Feedback Quality	Structured, delayed	Immediate, contextual
Reliability	High	Variable
Validity for Psychiatric Skills	Good for structured tasks (e.g., MSE, crisis role-play)	Strong for relational and interpersonal skills
Student Experience	Stressful but predictable	Stressful but realistic

5. Integration of OSCE and DOP in Psychiatric Nursing Education

Given their complementary strengths, a **hybrid assessment model** combining OSCE and DOP may provide the most robust evaluation.

- **Early Training Phase:** OSCEs can introduce students to standardized psychiatric scenarios in a controlled environment.
- **Clinical Phase:** DOP can then assess how students apply these skills in real practice.
- **Feedback Loop:** OSCE performance can inform targeted clinical observation, while DOP

experiences can prepare students for advanced OSCEs.

This integrated approach aligns with **Miller's Pyramid of Clinical Competence**:

- *Knows* (knowledge) → written exams.
- *Knows how* (application) → case-based learning.
- *Shows how* (simulation) → OSCE.
- *Does* (real practice) → DOP.

6. Implications for Nursing Educators and Institutions

- **Curriculum Design:** Programs should incorporate both OSCE and DOP to ensure holistic assessment.
- **Faculty Training:** Examiners need training in both standardized assessment (OSCE) and workplace-based feedback (DOP).
- **Resource Allocation:** Institutions must balance the cost of OSCE with the practicality of DOP.
- **Student Preparation:** Orientation and practice sessions reduce anxiety and improve performance.
- **Research Needs:** More empirical studies are required to evaluate long-term outcomes of OSCE and DOP in psychiatric nursing.

Summary and Conclusion

Competence in psychiatric nursing encompasses knowledge, communication, therapeutic engagement, and crisis management. Both **OSCE** and **DOP** play significant roles in assessing these skills.

- **OSCE** provides structured, standardized, and objective evaluation across diverse psychiatric scenarios, though it may lack real-world authenticity and require high resources.
- **DOP** captures authentic, real-time practice and facilitates immediate feedback but may suffer from variability and subjectivity.

Rather than viewing them as competing methods, OSCE and DOP should be integrated into a complementary model, ensuring that psychiatric nursing students are prepared not only for examinations but also for the realities of clinical practice. A blended assessment strategy enhances the reliability, validity, and educational value of competency-based evaluation in psychiatric nursing.

Bibliography

1. Harden RM, Stevenson M, Downie WW, Wilson GM. Assessment of clinical competence using objective structured examination. Br Med J. 1975;1(5955):447–51.
2. Walsh M, Bailey PH, Koren I. Objective structured clinical evaluation of clinical competence: an integrative review. J Adv Nurs. 2009;65(8):1584–95.
3. Wilkinson TJ, Frampton CM. Assessing performance in medicine—the place of workplace-based assessment. Med J Aust. 2004;181(5):272–4.
4. Boursicot K, Kemp S, Ong T, Wijaya L, Goh SH, Freeman K, et al. Conducting a high-stakes OSCE in a COVID-19 environment. MedEdPublish. 2020;9(1):54.
5. Norcini JJ, Burch V. Workplace-based assessment as an educational tool: AMEE Guide No. 31. Med Teach. 2007;29(9–10):855–71.
6. Mitchell ML, Henderson A, Groves M, Dalton M, Nulty D. The objective structured clinical examination (OSCE): Optimising its value in the undergraduate nursing curriculum. Nurse Educ Today. 2009;29(4):398–404.
7. Klasen JM, Vargová L, Varga M, Tomka J, Fischer MR, Vonau M, et al. Objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) in nursing education: A review of implementation and assessment. Nurse Educ Pract. 2020;42:102685.
8. Newble D. Techniques for measuring clinical competence: objective structured clinical

examinations. Med Educ. 2004;38(2):199–203.

9. Nulty DD, Mitchell ML, Jeffrey CA, Henderson A, Groves M. Best practice guidelines for use of OSCEs: maximising value for student learning. Nurse Educ Today. 2011;31(2):145–51.

10. Norcini J. The power of feedback. Med Educ. 2010;44(1):16–7.

11. Hrynchak P, Takahashi SG, Nayer M. Key features of direct observation of clinical skills (DOPS) in medical education. Med Teach. 2014;36(1):68–74.

12. Yaqinuddin A, Zafar M. Structured assessment of clinical competencies: An overview of the OSCE and DOPS. Pak J Med Sci. 2016;32(4):1002–7.

13. Ten Cate O. Competency-based postgraduate medical education: Past, present and future. GMS J Med Educ. 2017;34(5):Doc69.

14. Gormley G. Summative OSCEs in undergraduate medical education. Ulster Med J. 2011;80(3):127–32.

15. Bienstock JL, Katz NT, Cox SM, Hueppchen NA, Erickson S, Puscheck EE. To the point: Medical education reviews—providing feedback. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007;196(6):508–13.